

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/713,380	11/14/2003	Xin M. Wu	ITL.1049US (P17703)	1611
21906	7590 02/13/2006		EXAMINER	
TROP PRU	INER & HU, PC	VAN ROY, TOD THOMAS		
8554 KATY SUITE 100	FREEWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	TX 77024 .	•	2828	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/713,380	WU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner pm	Art Unit				
	Tod T. Van Roy	2828				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/12	<u>2/2005</u> .					
·—	·—					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.	i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a ala atian wa ay inamant					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	sa.				
Attachment(s)	n □ · · · · ·	(DTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The examiner acknowledges the amending of claims 1, 7, 12, and 17.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-2, 4, 7, 9, 12, 14, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taguchi (US 6320890) in view of Murata et al. (US 5371755).

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claim 1, Taguchi teaches a method comprising: providing current to a laser diode of an optical communication system (transmitter-diode, receiver-storage media) using a transistor (fig.10 #74) coupled in series with said laser diode (fig.10 LD) between a power supply voltage (fig.10 Vcc) and ground. Taguchi does not teach coupling a resistor to said transistor, said resistor parallel to said laser diode. Murata teaches a laser driving circuit wherein a resistor is coupled to the driving circuit and further in parallel to the laser diode (fig.1 #14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the method of Taguchi with the resistor of Murata in order to correct for impedance mismatching between the laser and the driving circuit (Murata, col.5 lines 22-28).

With respect to claim 2, Taguchi further teaches providing a differential output stage (fig.10, formed of: R5, R6, #71,73) coupled to drive said transistor (col.11-12 lines 49-4).

With respect to claim 4, Taguchi further teaches the transistor to be base driven (fig.10 #74, differential output connected to base).

With respect to claim 7, Taguchi further teaches a method comprising: forming a direct modulation laser driver including a transistor (fig.10 #74) coupled between a power supply (fig.10 Vcc) and a laser diode (fig.10 LD); and coupling said transistor to be driven by a differential output stage (fig.10, formed of: R5, R6, #71,73).

With respect to claim 9, Taguchi further teaches the transistor to be a bipolar transistor (fig.10 #74) having its base coupled to said differential output stage.

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claim 12, Taguchi further teaches a driver for a direct modulation laser comprising: a differential output stage (fig.10, formed of: R5, R6, #71,73), a transistor driven by said differential output stage (fig.10 #74), said transistor coupled between a power supply (fig.10 Vcc) and ground, and a laser diode (fig.10 LD) coupled in series with said transistor.

With respect to claim 14, Taguchi further teaches the transistor is a bipolar transistor (fig.10 #74) having a base coupled to said differential output stage (fig.10 #74, differential output connected to base).

With respect to claim 17, Taguchi further teaches a system comprising: a media access control (fig.10 #57, would allow for control by a media device), a laser driver coupled to said media access control (fig.10), said laser driver including a differential output stage (fig.10, formed of: R5, R6, #71,73), a transistor driver by said differential output stage (fig.10 #74), said transistor coupled between a power supply and ground, and a laser diode (fig.10 LD) coupled in series with said transistor.

With respect to claim 19, Taguchi further teaches the transistor to be a bipolar transistor (fig.10 #74) having a base coupled to said differential output stage (fig.10 #74, differential output connected to base).

Claims 1-3, 7-8, 12-13, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai (US 2003/0156609) in view of Murata.

With respect to claim 1, Tsai discloses a method comprising: providing current to a laser diode of an optical communication system (transmitter-diode, receiver-media which light strikes) using a transistor (fig.4 #Q501) coupled in series with said laser

Art Unit: 2828

diode (fig.4 LD401) between a power supply voltage (fig.4 Vcc) and ground. Tsai does not teach coupling a resistor to said transistor, said resistor parallel to said laser diode. Murata teaches a laser driving circuit wherein a resistor is coupled to the driving circuit and further in parallel to the laser diode (fig.1 #14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the method of Tsai with the resistor of Murata in order to correct for impedance mismatching between the laser and the driving circuit (Murata, col.5 lines 22-28).

With respect to claim 2, Tsai further teaches providing a differential output stage (fig.4, formed of: Resistor next to Q504, Resistor next to Q505, Q502, Q503) coupled to drive said transistor ([0034]).

With respect to claim 3, Tsai further teaches the transistor to be gate driven (fig.4 Q501, differential output connected to gate).

With respect to claim 7, Tsai further teaches a method comprising: forming a direct modulation laser driver including a transistor (fig.4 Q501) coupled between a power supply (fig.4 Vcc) and a laser diode (fig.4 LD401); and coupling said transistor to be driven by a differential output stage (fig.4, formed of: Resistor next to Q504, Resistor next to Q505, Q502, Q503).

With respect to claim 8, Tsai further teaches the transistor to be a field effect transistor (fig.4 Q501) having its gate coupled to said differential output stage.

With respect to claim 12, Tsai further teaches a driver for a direct modulation laser comprising: a differential output stage (fig.4, formed of: Resistor next to Q504, Resistor next to Q505, Q502, Q503), a transistor driven by said differential output stage

Art Unit: 2828

(fig.4 Q501), said transistor coupled between a power supply (fig.4 Vcc) and ground, and a laser diode (fig.4 LD401) coupled in series with said transistor.

With respect to claim 13, Tsai further teaches the transistor is a field effect transistor (fig.4 Q501) having a gate coupled to said differential output stage (fig.4 Q501, differential output connected to gate).

With respect to claim 17, Tsai further teaches a system comprising: a media access control (fig.4 #412, would allow for control by a media device), a laser driver coupled to said media access control (fig.4), said laser driver including a differential output stage (fig.4, formed of: Resistor next to Q504, Resistor next to Q505, Q502, Q503), a transistor driver by said differential output stage (fig.4 Q501), said transistor coupled between a power supply and ground, and a laser diode (fig.10 LD) coupled in series with said transistor.

With respect to claim 18, Taguchi further teaches the transistor to be a field effect transistor (fig.4 Q501) having a gate coupled to said differential output stage (fig.4 Q501, differential output connected to gate).

Claims 5, 10, 16, and 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taguchi and Murata in view of Kwon et al. (US 2003/0002551).

With respect to claims 5, 10, 16, and 21, Taguchi and Murata teach the laser diode driver as outlined in the rejections to claims 1, 7, 12, and 17, but do not teach the use of an AC coupled matching resistor. Kwon teaches a laser diode driver that uses an

Art Unit: 2828

AC coupled matching resistor (fig.3 Rc1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the laser driver of Taguchi and Murata with the resistor of Kwon in order to reduce ringing during high speed operation (Kwon, [0030]).

Claims 6, 11, 15, and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taguchi and Murata in view of Tanaka et al. (US 2004/0114650).

With respect to claims 6, 11, 15, and 20, Taguchi and Murata teach the laser diode driver as outlined in the rejections to claims 1, 7, 12, and 17, but do not teach the use of parallel matching resistors. Tanaka teaches a laser diode driver which uses parallel matching resistors (fig.3 Rd). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the laser driver of Taguchi and Murata with the matching resistors of Tanaka in order to suppress the reflection of signals from the laser diode (Tanaka, [0040]).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tod T. Van Roy whose telephone number is (571)272-8447. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,380 Page 8

Art Unit: 2828

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun Harvey can be reached on (571)272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TVR

MINSUN CH HARVEY
PRIMARY SYAMUSTS